

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 21, 2001  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

Orders were filed in the following matters extending the time within which to grant or deny a petition for review to and including the date indicated, or until review is either granted or denied:

- A083451/S099548    First Lieutenant Andrew Holmes et al. v. California National Guard et al. – October 29, 2001.
- A091689/S099489    People v. Nicholas Robert Zangari – October 26, 2001.
- A091884/S099667    Raul V. Aguilar v. Esther R. Lerner etc. – November 1, 2001.
- A092813/S099460    America Online, Inc. v. Alameda County Superior Court; Al Mendoza, Jr. et al., RPIs – October 26, 2001.
- A095554/S099461    Mattaniah Eytan v. San Francisco County Superior Court; LaSalle Partners Management et al., RPIs – October 26, 2001.
- B119341/S099469    In re Ruben G., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law; People v. Ruben G. – October 26, 2001.
- B136973/S099662    Robert Levine v. Charles Weis, as Superintendent of Schools et al. – November 2, 2001.
- B137370/S099618    People v. Oscar Portillo – November 1, 2001.
- B151192/S099676    Bertelsmann AG et al. v. Santa Barbara Superior Court; Jan Henric Buettner et al. – October 31, 2001.
- B151223/S099150    In re Carnell U. Pratt on Habeas Corpus – October 12, 2001.
- D036744/S099534    City of San Diego v. Workers' Compensation Appeals Board et al. – October 26, 2001.
- G023140/S099730    Joan Millar, as personal representative, etc. v. Standard Life and Accident Insurance Company – November 5, 2001.

G027568/S099557 In re Zeth S., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law; Orange County Social Services Agency v. Stacy S. – October 30, 2001.

F031065/S099718 People v. Joseph Nieto – November 5, 2001.

F035370/S099588 People v. Anthony Perez – October 26, 2001.

S027555 People, Respondent

v.

Alfredo R. Prieto, Appellant

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's brief is extended to and including November 6, 2001.

S034704 People, Respondent

v.

Charles Stevens, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including November 19, 2001.

No further extensions of time will be granted.

S050851 People, Respondent

v.

Ernest E. Dykes, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including November 19, 2001.

S055652 People, Respondent

v.

Freddie Fuiava, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including November 9, 2001.

S090420 Joseph Naegele et al., Appellants

v.

R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co. et al., Respondents

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file its combined answer to amicus curiae brief herein is extended to and including December 17, 2001.

S094877 Equilon Enterprises, LLC, Appellant

v.

Consumer Cause, Inc., Respondent

On application of appellant, Equilon Enterprises, LLC, and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file a consolidated answer to amicus curiae briefs in support of respondent herein is extended to and including November 5, 2001.

S098660 Henry Lantzy et al., Appellants

v.

Centex Homes et al., Respondents

On application of respondents and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to and including October 22, 2001.

S094597 People, Respondent

v.

Phia Lee et al., Appellants

Appellant Leel's "Application for Leave to File Supplemental Brief" is denied.

S098552 People, Respondent

v.

Steven Vaughn Williams, Appellant

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Lindy J. Zachritz is hereby appointed to represent appellant on his appeal now pending in this court.

Appellant's brief on the merits shall be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date respondent's opening brief on the merits is filed.

S020032 People, Respondent

v.

Raymond Anthony Lewis, Appellant

In the above-entitled matter, the court filed its decision on on August 2, 2001, because of the following circumstances:

1. The size of the record on appeal, which exceeded 10,000 pages total.
2. The necessity to grant appellant, for good cause shown, twelve extensions of time within which to file the appellant's opening brief, and six extensions to time within which to file the reply brief.
3. The necessity to grant respondent, for good cause shown, five extensions of time within which to file the respondent's brief.
4. The number and complexity of the issues presented.

S072196 In the Matter of the Suspension of Attorneys  
Pursuant to Rule 962 California Rules of Court

Having been provided proof of compliance pursuant to Family Code 17520, the suspension of **William Reyes, State Bar No. 170971**, pursuant to our order filed on July 28, 1998 and effective August 31, 1998, is hereby terminated.

This order is final forthwith.

S099345 In the Matter of the Suspension of Attorneys  
Pursuant to Rule 962 California Rules of Court

Having been provided proof of compliance pursuant to Family Code 17520, the suspension of **Steven H. Hertz, State Bar No. 153971** pursuant to our order filed on July 23, 2001 and effective September 4, 2001, is hereby terminated.

This order is final forthwith.

S099103 In re **Roy Chester Dickson** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Roy Chester Dickson, State Bar No. 105583**, be suspended from the practice of law for six months, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for four years subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on April 9, 2001. Costs are awarded to

the State Bar and one-fourth of said costs shall be added to and become part of the membership fees for the years 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2005. (Bus. & Prof. Code section 6086.10.)

S099106 In re **Arthur Ashur Graves, III** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Arthur Ashur Graves, III, State Bar No. 84881**, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation, including three months actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on May 22, 2001. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar and one-half of said costs shall be added to and become part of the membership fees for the years 2002 and 2003. (Bus. & Prof. Code section 6086.10.)  
\*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S099108 In re **Lorie Ann Ruminson** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Lorie Ann Ruminson, State Bar No. 131264**, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that she be placed on probation for three years subject to the conditions of probation, including 90 days actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on May 11, 2001. It is also ordered that she take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that she comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that she perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.  
\*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S099113      In re **George Richard Royston** on Discipline

It is hereby ordered that **George Richard Royston, State Bar No. 101918**, be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. Respondent is also ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

\*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S099114      In re **Michael Dale Hargrove** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Michael Dale Hargrove, State Bar No. 177832**, be suspended from the practice of law for three years, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for five years on condition that he be actually suspended for 22 months and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, and until he makes restitution to Barbara Simon (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate), in the amount of \$3334 plus 10% interest per annum accruing from November 23, 1998; and until he makes restitution to Anthony Garcia (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate), in the amount of \$10,525 plus 10% interest per annum accruing from August 9, 1999, and provide satisfactory proof thereof to the State Bar Probation Unit . Respondent is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on April 23, 2001. It is also ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his actual suspension. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Respondent is further ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

\*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S099115 In re **Rhea S. Hirsch** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Rhea S. Hirsch, State Bar No. 158660**, be suspended from the practice of law for 90 days, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that she be placed on probation for three years subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on May 23, 2001, as modified by its order filed June 19, 2001. It is further ordered that she take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar and one-third of said costs shall be added to and become part of the membership fees for the years 2002, 2003 and 2004. (Bus. & Prof. Code section 6086.10.)

S099256 In re **Wolodymyr Y. Dozorsky** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Wolodymyr Y. Dozorsky, State Bar No. 98515**, be suspended from the practice of law for three years and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for four years on condition that he be actually suspended for 18 months. He is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on April 30, 2001, as modified by its order filed May 11, 2001. It is also ordered that **Wolodymyr Y. Dozorsky** take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his actual suspension. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) He is further ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar and one-quarter of said costs shall be added to and become part of the membership fees for the years 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2005. (Bus. & Prof. Code section 6086.10.)

\*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

- S100658      In the Matter of the Resignation of **Eugene H. Ciranni**  
A Member of the State Bar of California  
The voluntary resignation of **Eugene H. Ciranni, State Bar No. 34571**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.
- S100664      In the Matter of the Resignation of **Martin Joseph Coen III**  
A Member of the State Bar of California  
The voluntary resignation of **Martin Joseph Coen III, State Bar No. 44447**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.
- S100705      In the Matter of the Resignation of **Linda Jean Moore**  
A Member of the State Bar of California  
The voluntary resignation of **Linda Jean Moore, State Bar No. 91188**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.
- S100707      In the Matter of the Resignation of **Andrea Beth Corney**  
A Member of the State Bar of California  
The voluntary resignation of **Andrea Beth Corney, State Bar No. 148199**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.
- S100709      In the Matter of the Resignation of **Debra Elaine Propeck**  
A Member of the State Bar of California  
The voluntary resignation of **Debra Elaine Propeck, State Bar No. 105707**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.
- S100710      In the Matter of the Resignation of **Kenneth Alan Rosskopf**  
A Member of the State Bar of California  
The voluntary resignation of **Kenneth Alan Rosskopf, State Bar No. 36150**, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.